

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

**ROBERTA KELLY and
D. LAWRENCE OLSTAD,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**U.S. BANK; BISHOP, WHITE &
MARSHALL, P.S., a Washington
Professional Services Company; and
KRISTA WHITE,**

Defendants.

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,

Third-party Plaintiff,

**CITY OF PORTLAND, a municipal
corporation; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., an
inactive Oregon corporation; CREDIT
CARD RECEIVABLES FUND
INCORPORATED, an Ohio corporation dba
UNIFUND CCR PARTNERS; ZB
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Delaware
limited partnership dba UNIFUND CCR
PARTNERS,**

Third-party Defendants,

MOSMAN, J.,

On July 16, 2010, I adopted Magistrate Judge Acosta's Findings and Recommendation

("F&R") (#188) in the above-captioned case and I granted defendant U.S. Bank's Motion for Sanctions (#100), Second Motion for Sanctions (#109), and Third Motion for Sanctions (#155). (*See* Op. & Order (#202).) In response to my Order, plaintiff Roberta Kelly filed a Rejection and declaration (#206) requesting that I vacate the Order (#202). I construed the Rejection as a motion for reconsideration, which I denied on July 20, 2010 (#210). Subsequently, Ms. Kelly filed a motion to vacate one or more of my orders (#212) and a motion to vacate or reconsider one or more of my and/or Judge Acosta's orders (#216) in this case. Because I already denied reconsideration of my Opinion and Order (#202) (*see* #210), I DENY any additional request to reconsider.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 30th day of July, 2010.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman
MICHAEL W. MOSMAN
United States District Court